Evaluating the effectiveness of fish habitat restoration across the Toronto waterfront

Kaylin Barnes¹, <u>Lyndsay Cartwright¹</u>, <u>Rick Portiss¹</u>, Jon Midwood², Christine Boston², Monica Granados³, Thomas Sciscione¹, Colleen Gibson¹, Olusola Obembe¹

Regional Watershed Alliance November 18, 2020

¹ Toronto and Region Conservation Authority
² Fisheries and Oceans Canada
³ PREreview.org



Toronto Port Lands 1899. Looking north west to Toronto skyline in left background.



Toronto Public Library

Historical Fish Abundance

- 200 years ago Lake Ontario was pristine and teeming with Lake Trout and Atlantic Salmon
- The Toronto Islands were a large sandy spit protecting a huge wetland where Muskellunge, Northern Pike, and Walleye thrived
- The now rare Lake Sturgeon and American Eel were common







Toronto Harbour 1793



University of Toronto Libraries - Map & Data Library



University of Toronto Libraries - Map & Data Library

In 1858, Toronto islands were formed when a storm completely separated the peninsula from the mainland creating a gap too large to repair

Toronto Harbour 1913



This map of Toronto Bay shows the creation of the Western and Eastern Gap, the Keating Cut, and the outline of Ashbridges Bay Marsh.

Toronto Harbour Today



Stonehooking in the Toronto Region 1815 - 1920



Legacy of Impact due to Stonehooking Let's Put this in Perspective: 2.4 million cubic yards =



Even though there is little in the Toronto area that has not been impacted by urbanization, fish habitat remains in some areas

Efforts are being made to improve and restore some of the lost habitat

In 1985 Toronto and Region designated an Area of Concern (AOC) by International Joint Commission

Since then, much work has been done to restore the AOC through the Remedial Action Plan (RAP)

The Toronto Waterfront Aquatic Habitat Restoration Strategy contributes to the current fish and habitat assessment through the RAP working with our municipal, provincial and federal partners to work towards delisting the



Objective

- To evaluate the *effectiveness* of fish habitat restoration using the Strategy
 - Quantify the *amount* of restored habitat
 - Net gain
 - Mapped restoration projects
 - Assess the *response* of fish communities to aquatic habitat restoration
 - Piscivores, forage, Common Carp, thermal guilds (IBI)
 - Waterfront electrofishing



Results – Habitat restoration

- 44 sites; aquatic and riparian
- Open coast 164 286 m² (~16 ha)
- Estuary 10 891 m² (~1 ha)

picoke

Heights

Black Creek

- Embayment 160 158 m² (~16 ha)
- Coastal wetland 213 606 m² (~21 ha)





Results – Open coast fish communities

- 4 restored, 1 reference
- Few species
- Cool and coldwater species
- Restored sites often had more species than the reference site
 - 个 Smallmouth Bass, Rock Bass
 - \downarrow Spottail Shiner, Emerald Shiner
- Round goby?





Results – Estuary fish communities

- 2 restored, 1 reference
- Primarily coolwater species
- 个 Rock Bass, shiners, minnows
- ↓ Spottail Shiner, Common Carp







Toronto and Region Conservation Authority 17

Results – Estuary fish communities



2002

2018

2018



Results – Embayment fish communities

- 10 restored, 1 reference
- Cool and warmwater species
- Juvenile Largemouth Bass and Northern Pike
- IBI metrics
 - 个 Pumpkinseed, Largemouth Bass, Rock Bass, Yellow Perch, Northern Pike
 - ↓ Spottail Shiner, Emerald Shiner
 - Still degraded but improved

 1996
 2016

 Image: Constraint of the second second





Round Goby?

Results – Coastal wetland fish communities

- 3 restored
- Many species, shift from cool to warmwater species, nursery, foraging habitat, carp
- ↓ Spottail Shiner, Bluntnose Minnow









Toronto and Region Conservation Authority 22



Round Goby?

Conclusions

- The Toronto Waterfront Aquatic Habitat Restoration Strategy:
 - Provides a holistic approach to waterfront development
 - Coordinated planning and management
- Restoration effectiveness was variable
 - Coastal wetland restoration



Next steps

- Aquatic Habitat Toronto consultation and implementation of the Strategy must continue to ensure targets are met and mitigate future threats
 - Invasive species, water quality, climate change
- Environmental monitoring needs to continue across the waterfront to support adaptive management decisions related to restoration activities
- Continue to work with our research and development partners using the innovative approach to aquatic habitat improvement outlined in the Strategy to achieve our common goal of a sustainable environment

